





## A Crosswalk: High-Leverage Practices for Students with Disabilities and Strategic Instruction Model (SIM™) Instructional Tools and Interventions

## **Purpose**

The University of Kansas Center for Research on Learning (KUCRL) has developed this crosswalk to assist educators in understanding the relationship between the High-Leverage Practices for Students with Disabilities (HLPs) and the elements and processes used when implementing the Strategic Instruction Model (SIM™). These comparisons demonstrate how SIM can empower all teachers with evidence-based instructional tools and interventions which embed HLPs shown by research to improve achievement for students with disabilities. Likewise, these comparisons demonstrate how fluency in the use of HLPs provides a solid foundation with which to implement evidence-based practices, such as SIM. High leverage practices and the instructional process built into SIM have complimentary and related research foundations showing effectiveness to improve outcomes for students.

## Background on HLPs

High-leverage Practices are endorsed by the CEEDAR Center at the University of Florida and the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC). In 2015, twenty-two High-Leverage Practices for Students with Disabilities were determined by a writing group of practitioners and scholars and were organized by four domains (Collaboration, Assessment, Social/Emotional/Behavioral, and Instruction). HLPs were determined based on the following criteria: focus directly on instructional practice, occur with high frequency in teaching, research based and known to foster student engagement and learning, broadly applicable and usable in any content area or approach to teaching, and skillful execution is fundamental to effective teaching. In 2024, the HLPs were reorganized into seven Pillar Practices and the four domains were renamed to: Collaboration, Data-Driven Planning, Instruction in Behavior and Academics, and Intensify and Intervene as Needed. Pillar Practices were determined as the Most Important Practices, and the rest of the HLPs are within each of the Pillar Practices. What remains the same is that HLPs represent the most critical practices that K-12 special educators should be ready to







implement on Day 1 of their teaching assignment. Great potential exists for using these HLPs as a framework for delivering instruction to pre-service teachers as well ongoing professional learning within school divisions. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.highleveragepractices.org">www.highleveragepractices.org</a>.

## **Background on SIM**

The Strategic Instruction Model is a comprehensive approach to adolescent literacy, including an evidence-based set of instructional tools and interventions that empower teachers and enable students to better succeed in school and beyond. Strategic schools and teachers select instructional tools and interventions to meet their student needs, and strategic students have options for matching an approach to a task. Since 1978, researchers from KUCRL have partnered with classroom teachers to design SIM instructional tools, materials, and interventions. The research-based components of these tools have been tested and approved by teachers to become evidence-based practices shown to be effective in varied school and classroom contexts. SIM includes two arms that work together to improve literacy: Learning Strategies (LS) and Content Enhancement Routines (CER). LS use explicit and systematic instructional procedures. CER implementation is supported by the SMARTER Instructional Cycle, an instructional planning cycle that promotes effective teaching and learning of critical content. Schools and teachers may implement a combination of LS and/or CER. SIM also includes two comprehensive reading programs, designed based on the science of reading: Fusion Reading (FR) and Xtreme Reading (XR). For more information, visit <a href="https://www.sim.ku.edu">www.sim.ku.edu</a>. The Strategic Insruction Model was built on the importance of teaching cognitive and metacognitive strategies.

--Proceed to Next Page--







	High-Leverage Practice	SIM Learning Strategy Instructional Process	SIM Content Enhancement Routine Instructional Process	Examples of SIM Instructional Tools and Interventions
	HLP 1. Collaborate with professionals to increase student success.	Embedded strategy instruction within co-taught classes; Match and select strategies based on student needs to access the general education curriculum;	Planning and leading learning routines assist with collaboration; Determine content structures and relationships (i.e., concepts, comparisons) to address through	Course Organizer Unit Organizer Lesson Organizer Proficiency in the Sentence
uc		Generalization includes asking the general education teachers to prompt and expect the use of strategies that students have mastered	explicit visuals and teaching processes	Writing Strategy taught to whole class Word Mapping Strategy taught in small groups
Collaboration	HLP 3. Collaborate with families to support student learning and secure needed services.	Progress charts are communication tools with families	Visual devices and studying procedures help parents reinforce learning at home; Community principles, learning rituals and performance options on the Course Organizer communicate the teacher's learning system	Course Organizer Unit Organizer Self-Advocacy Strategy FR and XR Book Study  LS or reading intervention selected by need
	Embedded HLP 2. Organize and facilitate effective meetings with professionals and families.	Progress charts provide student data for meetings; Classroom management charts and progress monitoring tools serve as data dashboard for intervention courses	Visual devices with Cue-Do- Review sequence can be used with educators as well to organize meeting content and foster dialogue	Self-Advocacy Strategy CER, LS, or reading intervention selected by need







	High-Leverage Practice	SIM Learning Strategy Instructional Process	SIM Content Enhancement Routine Instructional Process	Examples of SIM Instructional Tools and Interventions
ven Planning	HLP 6. Use student assessment data, analyze instructional practices, and make necessary adjustments that improve student outcomes.	Mastery learning includes multiple opportunities for formative assessment with these stages of instruction: Verbal Practice, Controlled Practice & Feedback, Advance Practice & Feedback, Pre-/Post-test & Make Commitments, and Generalization; Progress is monitored throughout instruction; Descriptive, targeted feedback including additional modeling and goal setting is provided in relation to meeting general education learning expectations	SMARTER Instructional Cycle accompanies the use of CER, which includes Analyzing for learning difficulties, Reaching instructional enhancement decisions, and Teaching strategically; Evaluating learning, and Revisiting learning outcomes and critical questions; Cue-Do-Review instructional sequence with all CER; co-construction with each CER allows for teachers to adjust instruction and clear up misconceptions	Unit Organizer expanded map  CER, LS, and reading programs selected by need
Data-Driven	Embedded HLP 4. Use multiple sources of information to develop a comprehensive understanding of a student's strengths and needs.	Pre-test & Make Commitments stage of instruction in every LS evaluates student skills in relation to grade level curricular demands; purposeful selection of LS aligns with needed skills and incorporates student awareness of strengths and needs; progress is monitored throughout instruction	SMARTER Instructional Cycle accompanies the use of CER, which includes Shaping the critical questions based on a review of standards and student performance data to plan instruction and Analyze for learning difficulties step to consider how content might be difficult, made apparent, and measured	Course Organizer Unit Organizer LS or reading intervention selected by need
	Embedded HLP 5. Interpret and communicate assessment	Pre-test & Make Commitments stage of instruction aligns	Planning routines encourage transparency for learning goals	Unit Organizer self-test questions and schedule







information to collaboratively	selected LS with needed skills	and student progress in achieving	Course Organizer course
design and implement	and incorporates student	them; planning routines enable	progress chart and standards
educational programs.	awareness of strengths and	collaborative design and	measurement
	needs; progress is monitored	implementation of educational	
	throughout instruction; Progress	programming; visual devices are	LS or reading intervention
	charts and classroom	co-constructed with students	selected by need
	management charts serve as		
	assessment communication tools		
	with stakeholders; Frequent		
	feedback used; Post-test & Make		
	Commitments to Generalize		
	stage of instuction in every LS		
	determines mastery and next		
	steps		
Embedded HLP 11. Identify	Results from the <i>Pre-test</i> stage	Cue-Do-Review instructional	Course Organizer critical
and prioritize long- and short-	aids decision-making regarding	sequence with all CER; "Cue"	questions, critical concepts,
term learning goals.	strategy instruction and the Make	establishes learning goals and	and unit maps
	Commitments aspect of this	"Review" reinforces the	Unit Organizer self-test
	stage involves students setting	importance of critical content and	questions, unit map, and unit
	goals for learning; strategy use is	learning process; SMARTER	relationships
	aligned with Present Level of	Instructional Cycle accompanies	Possible Selves
	Performance and IEP	the use of CER: Shaping the	Self-Advocacy Strategy
	goals/objectives; goal setting and	critical questions and Mapping	FR Partner Practice and Book
	completion is documented on	the critical content and	Study
	student Progress Charts; goal	relationships based on a review	XR Paired Practice and Book
	progress and attainment during	of standards and student	Study
	strategy instruction can be	performance data to plan	LS and reading programs
	documented within the IEP	instruction	selected based on need
	progress report; Generalization		
	stage includes goal setting for		
	strategy use in varied settings		







Embedded HLP 12. Systematically design instruction toward a specific learning goal. Teacher-student dialogue about goal setting interwoven throughout; Pre-test and Make Commitments involves students setting goals for learning; Describe stage includes students setting personalized learning goals and target dates for completion; Controlled and Feedback and Advance Practice and Feedback stages include goal setting as part of the Feedback Loop; Post-test and Make Commitments to Generalize stage include celebrating goal achievement and documentation in IEP for goal attainment; within LS manuals the use of other LS are suggested as pre-requisites or reinforcement for addressing challenges with goal attainment

Cue-Do-Review instructional sequence with all CER; "Cue" establishes learning goals and "Review" reinforces the importance of critical content and learning process; SMARTER Instructional Cycle accompanies the use of CER: Shaping the critical questions and Mapping the critical content and relationships based on a review of standards and student performance data to plan instruction; Analyzing for learning difficulties, Reaching instructional enhancement decisions, and Teaching strategically

Course Organizer critical questions, critical concepts, and unit maps
Unit Organizer self-test questions, unit map, and unit relationships
CER selected based on need
LS strands are organized in a sequence to assist teachers in strategy selection aligned to specific learning goals
FR Goal Setting per reading strategy and Book Study
XR Goal Setting per reading strategy and Book Study

--Proceed to Next Page--







	High-Leverage Practice	SIM Learning Strategy	SIM Content Enhancement	Examples of SIM Instructional
		Instructional Process	Routine Instructional Process	Tools and Interventions
	HLP 7. Establish a consistent,	Instructional procedures include:	Cue-Do-Review instructional	Course Organizer Learning
	organized and responsive	daily advance and post	sequence with all CER; "Cue"	Community: Community
	learning environments.	organizers to establish and	establishes learning goals and	Principles, Learning Rituals,
		reinforce expectations for	expected behavior for active and	and Performance Options LS
S		learning and behavior, guided	respectful participation, "Do"	Community Building Series
. <u>=</u>		practice, and organized student	supports co-constructed,	FR Establish the Course Unit,
e		materials in folders with visual	collaborative learning; "Review"	Classroom Routines and
D		tools, such as Progress Chart,	supports student self- reflection on	Procedures, Partner Practice,
Academics		Assignment Sheets, and	performance	and Thinking Reading
$\triangleleft$		Classroom Management Charts;		XR Xpect to Achieve Unit,
Q		Commitments to learn by		Paired Practice, and Guided
and		students and to teach by		Reading
ي ۔		teachers; Feedback process		
.9		helps develop a partnership for		
Behavior		learning and teaching		
<u> </u>	HLP 16. Use explicit instruction.	All stages of instruction use	Cue-Do-Review instructional	Strategies within the FR and
$\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$		elements of explicit instruction	sequence prompts a supportive	XR reading programs use
.⊑		delivery: clarity of language and	learning experience for students;	explicit instruction.
		purpose, reduction of cognitive	"I do, we do, you do" approach	FR Partner, Independent, and
ō		load with scaffolded approach,	can be used to move CER use	Generalization Practices,
<del>.</del> :::		frequent and varied student	from whole class construction to	Thinking Reading, and Book
Ę		responses, frequent affirmative	independent student strategy use	Study
Instruction		and corrective feedback, and		XR Paired, Differentiated, and
Ĕ		deliberate activities for		Independent Practices,
		generalization of strategy to		Guided Reading, and Book
		practical uses		Study
				CER, LS, and reading
				programs selected by need







Embedded HLP 9. Teach social behaviors.	LS Stages of instruction use explicit and scaffolded instruction to teach social behaviors (e.g., Model stage includes teacher think aloud and eliciting student involvement with teacher guidance)	Cue-Do-Review instructional sequence with all CER; "Cue" establishes and expected behavior for active and respectful participation, "Do" supports co-constructed, collaborative learning; "Review" supports student self- reflection on performance	Course Organizer learning community Community Building Series Cooperative Thinking Series Socially Wise Program Speaking with Power series SLANT Strategy for Class Participation FR Establish the Course Unit, Classroom Routines and Procedures, Partner Practice
Embedded HLP 13. Adapt curriculum tasks and materials for specific learning goals.	Mnemonic device helps students remember the steps to use LS; Each stage of instruction in every LS is strategically scaffolded; Each lesson begins with an advance organizer to provide clear directions and expectations for learning and behavior; "I do, we do, you do" process used throughout stages/lessons; Controlled and Advance Practice Stages involve students using the strategy with increasingly difficult tasks; during Describe Stage students take notes about how to use the strategy on cue cards or cloze	Cue-Do-Review instructional sequence with all CER; "Cue" establishes learning goals and "Review" reinforces the importance of critical content and learning process; Mnemonic on visual device helps teachers and students build their device in a logical sequence; Concept mapping is foundational to most CER devices	XR Xpect to Achieve Unit and Paired Practice  Course Organizer performance learning options Strategic Tutoring FR Thinking Reading and Book Study XR Guided Reading and Book Study  CER, LS and reading program selected based on need







Embedded HLP 14. Teach cognitive and metacognitive strategies to support learning and independence.	Phase guides students in identifying ways to adapt the mastered strategy to meet their needs  An overarching goal of LS instruction is to develop strategic learners; During Pretest and Make Commitments both teacher and student write and sign commitment statements to teach/learn the LS; Model Stage prompts teacher to demonstration overt and covert behaviors needed to perform the strategy; Verbal Practice involves memorizing the strategy steps and confirming an understanding of each step; a feedback loop includes teacher-student dialogue about strategy	Cue-Do-Review instructional sequence with all CER; "Do" supports co-constructed, collaborative learning of critical content and relationships among concepts; CER devices support students to express their metacognitive thinking in speaking and writing; SMARTER Instructional Cycle accompanies the use of CER; Mapping the critical content and relationships to encourage metacognitive thinking; teachers can teach students how to study using CER to support independence	Unit Organizer unit relationships and line labels Question Exploration Routine Concept Mastery Concept Comparison FR Partner, Independent, and Generalization Practices, Thinking Reading, and Book Study XR Paired, Differentiated, and Independent Practices, Guided Reading, and Book Study LS and reading programs selected by need
	feedback loop includes teacher-	students how to study using CER	0, 0
Embedded HLP 15. Provide scaffolded supports.	"I do, we do, you do" process used throughout stages/lessons; Controlled and Advance Practice Stages involve students using the strategy with	CER reduce cognitive load through visual representation of critical concepts, topics, and details, "Cue-Do-Review" instructional sequence prompts a	FR Partner, Independent, and Generalization Practices, and Thinking Reading







			VD D : 1 D:00
	increasingly difficult tasks;	supportive learning experience for	XR Paired, Differentiated, and
	students use Cue Cards during	students; "I do, we do, you do"	Independent Practices, and
	assignments to remind them of	approach can be used to move	Guided Reading
	strategy steps as needed	CER use from whole class	
		construction to independent	CER, LS, and reading
		student strategy use	programs selected by need
Embedded HLP 17. Use flexible	Mixed-ability groups and	CER is provided through whole	CER selected by need
grouping.	partners can be used during	class instruction with a wide range	SLANT Strategy for Class
	Controlled Practice with	of learning needs; During co-	Participation
	Feedback Stage and	construction by small groups	Cooperative Thinking Series
	Generalization Stage practices	within the larger class, flexible	FR Partner Practice
	with LS and are used during	grouping can be used; Station,	XR Paired Practice
	paired practice with XR and	Alternative, and Parallel Teaching	
	partner work with FR; Instructor	in co-taught classes support CER	
	materials include a How-to	differentiated instruction	
	Troubleshoot section; Station,		
	Alternative, and Parallel		
	Teaching in co-taught classes		
	support LS differentiated		
	instruction;		
Embedded HLP 18. Use	Each stage includes high levels	Cue-Do-Review instructional	CER selected by need
strategies to promote active	of active student engagement;	sequence with all CER; "Do"	SLANT Strategy for Class
student engagement.	during the Describe Stage,	supports co-constructed,	Participation
- Contract of Gagament	teachers give an overview of the	collaborative learning with active	FR Partner, Independent, and
	strategy and asks questions of	participation by all students	Generalization Practices, and
	students to check their	participation by an stadents	Thinking Reading
	understanding with the goal for		XR Paired, Differentiated, and
	a written or verbal response		Independent Practices, and
	every three statements; <i>Model</i>		Guided Reading
	Stage includes eliciting student		
	involvement after teacher		
	involvement after teacher		







	model; also, throughout strategy instruction teachers are encouraged to use their own creative strategies to promote active student engagement; Verbal Practice involves memorizing the strategy steps and confirming an understanding of each step and this is completed in a highly engaging series of group practice activities		
Embedded HLP 19. Use	Students continue to use	Students continue to use assistive	CER templates provided in
assistive and instructional	assistive technology as	technology as determined by	varied technology formats
technologies.	determined by their IEP team	participating in a CER; teachers	
	while learning a strategy;	incorporate instructional	LS, XR, and FR student
	teachers incorporate	technology to support student	materials provided as PDF
	instructional technology to	learning and increase student	files for digital student
	support student learning and	engagement; while CER	engagement
	increase student engagement;	instructional process does not	
	while LS instructional process	target assistive and instructional	
	does not target assistive and	technologies persay, low-, mid-,	
	instructional technologies	and high-tech solutions are	
	persay, low-, mid-, and high-	compatible and desirable; CER	
	tech solutions are compatible	instruction can be embedded into	
	and desirable; LS instruction can	Learning Management Systems	
	be embedded into Learning		
	Management Systems		
Embedded HLP 21. Teach	Generalization stage with four	Routine use of CER encourage	CER, LS, and reading
students to maintain and	phases: orientation and	generalization across time and	programs selected by need
	activation of strategy use within	settings	







generalize new learning across time and settings.	and outside the class, adaptation to metacognitively similar tasks and how to integrate two or more learning strategies, and maintenance data collected on strategy use with practical tasks in varied settings to promote long-term use		
Embedded HLP 8/22. Provide positive and constructive feedback to guide students' learning (HLP 22) and behavior (HLP 8).	Progress is monitored throughout instruction; a Feedback Loop is used to provide positive and corrective feedback including additional modeling, student practice, student paraphrasing feedback, goal setting, and communicating high expectations and informs teacher of the instructional needs of students which fosters partnership learning; in both FR and XR students serve as "coaches" for their partner and are taught how to provide feedback	Co-construction process for each CER allows for teachers to adjust instruction, clear up misconceptions, and provide positive reinforcement during the learning process	Unit Organizer expanded map Course Organizer course progress chart and performance learning options Community Building Series Cooperative Thinking Series Socially Wise Program FR Partner Practice, Independent Practice, Thinking Reading, and Book Study XR Differentiated Practice, Paired Practice, Guided Reading, and Book Study







	High-Leverage Practice	SIM Learning Strategy Instructional Process	SIM Content Enhancement Routine Instructional Process	Examples of SIM Instructional Tools and Interventions
	HLP 20. Provide intensive	Providing intensive intervention	CER are embedded in some LS	LS selected by need
	intervention for academics	involves selecting a program based on	and both FR and XR reading	
D	and behavior.	student need that is research-based,	programs	FR or XR reading programs
þ		explicit and systematic, and focuses on		
Needed		foundational skills; monitoring student		
		progress (Progress Sheets, Classroom		
as		Management Chart, and goals setting		
ခြ		process); and planning needed		
ē		adaptations to improve student		
2		mastery (Feedback loop); time frames		
Intervene		and suggested daily implementation		
		provided in the manual fosters		
and		intensity; individual LS or either the FR or XR program could be selected for		
\(\sigma\)		this purpose		
Intensify	Embedded HLP 10.	Functional behavioral assessments	A CER can be used as a self-	Socially Wise Program
en	Conduct functional	may prompt the use of specific social-	monitoring tool for students	Speaking with Power series
nt	behavioral assessments to	emotional LS	monitoring tool for students	Framing Routine
	develop student behavior	Sind donar Ed		Training Roddine
	support plans.			

Aceves, T. C. & Kennedy, M. J. (Eds.) (2024, February). *High-leverage practices for students with disabilities. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.* Council for Exceptional Children and CEEDAR Center.

Deshler, D. & Schumaker, J. (1978-2020). Strategic Instruction Model. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas Center for Research on Learning. McLeskey, J., Council for Exceptional Children, & Collaboration for Effective Educator Development, Accountability and Reform. (2017). High-leverage practices in special education. Council for Exceptional Children.

Lenz, B.K. (2016). The SMARTER Instructional cycle. White paper. Retrieved from <a href="http://media.gistplan.com/SMARTER%20Instuctional%20Cycle.pdf">http://media.gistplan.com/SMARTER%20Instuctional%20Cycle.pdf</a>